Computer algebra for basic hypergeometric functions

Christoph Koutschan (joint work with Peter Paule)

Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM)

Austrian Academy of Sciences

July 24, 2019 OPSFA 2019, Hagenberg, Austria







Classical expansion formula of the plane wave in terms of ultraspherical polynomials $C_m^{(\nu)}(x)$ ("Gegenbauer polynomials"):

$$e^{irx} = \left(\frac{2}{r}\right)^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^m(\nu+m) J_{\nu+m}(r) C_m^{(\nu)}(x).$$

Classical expansion formula of the plane wave in terms of ultraspherical polynomials $C_m^{(
u)}(x)$ ("Gegenbauer polynomials"):

$$e^{irx} = \left(\frac{2}{r}\right)^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^m(\nu+m) J_{\nu+m}(r) C_m^{(\nu)}(x).$$

Ismail and Zhang (1994) had found the following q-analog:

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q),$$

Classical expansion formula of the plane wave in terms of ultraspherical polynomials $C_m^{(\nu)}(x)$ ("Gegenbauer polynomials"):

$$e^{irx} = \left(\frac{2}{r}\right)^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^m(\nu+m) J_{\nu+m}(r) C_m^{(\nu)}(x).$$

Ismail and Zhang (1994) had found the following q-analog:

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q),$$

where $(a;q)_n:=\prod_{k=0}^n (1-aq^k)$ is the q-Pochhammer symbol.

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

The basic exponential function $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega),$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

The basic exponential function $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega),$$

where the basic cosine function $C_q(x;\omega)$ is defined as

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-qe^{2i\theta}; q^2)_j (-qe^{-2i\theta}; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j,$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

The basic exponential function $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x;i\omega) := C_{q}(x;\omega) + i S_{q}(x;\omega),$$

where the basic cosine function $C_q(x;\omega)$ is defined as

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \frac{(-\omega^2;q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2;q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-qe^{2i\theta};q^2)_j (-qe^{-2i\theta};q^2)_j}{(q;q^2)_j (q^2;q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j,$$

and the basic sine function $S_q(x;\omega)$ as

$$\frac{(-\omega^2;q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2;q^2)_{\infty}}\frac{2q^{1/4}\omega}{1-q}\cos(\theta)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-qe^{2i\theta};q^2)_j\left(-qe^{-2i\theta};q^2\right)_j}{(q^3;q^2)_j\left(q^2;q^2\right)_j}(-\omega^2)^j.$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

where $J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega;q)$ is Jackson's q-Bessel function defined by

$$J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q) = \frac{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(\nu+n)n} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{\nu+2n}}{(q;q)_n (q^{\nu+1};q)_n},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

where $J^{(2)}_{\nu+m}(2\omega;q)$ is Jackson's q-Bessel function defined by

$$J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q) = \frac{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(\nu+n)n} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{\nu+2n}}{(q;q)_n (q^{\nu+1};q)_n},$$

and where the continuous q-ultraspherical (q-Gegenbauer) polynomials $C_m(x;q^{\nu}|q),\,x=\cos(\theta)$, are defined as

$$C_m(\cos \theta; \beta | q) := \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(\beta; q)_k (\beta; q)_{m-k}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{m-k}} e^{i(m-2k)\theta}.$$

A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities *

Doron ZEILBERGER

Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

Received 14 November 1989

Abstract: We observe that many special functions are solutions of so-called holonomic systems. Bernstein's deep theory of holonomic systems is then invoked to show that any identity involving sums and integrals of products of these special functions can be verified in a finite number of steps. This is partially substantiated by an algorithm that proves terminating hypergeometric series identities, and that is given both in English and in MAPLE.

A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities *

Doron ZEILBERGER

Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

Received 14 November 1989

Abstract: We observe that many special functions are solutions of so-called holonomic systems. Bernstein's deep theory of holonomic systems is then invoked to show that any identity involving sums and integrals of products of these special functions can be verified in a finite number of steps. This is partially substantiated by an algorithm that proves terminating hypergeometric series identities, and that is given both in English and in MAPLE.

Implementations (in Mathematica, created at RISC):

GeneratingFunctions, fastZeil, MultiSum, qZeil, qMultiSum, pqTelescope, HolonomicFunctions

1. Functions and sequences are represented by their relations: recurrences and differential equations (and initial values).

- 1. Functions and sequences are represented by their relations: recurrences and differential equations (and initial values).
- 2. Identities are proven by deriving relations for both sides and by comparing initial values.

- 1. Functions and sequences are represented by their relations: recurrences and differential equations (and initial values).
- 2. Identities are proven by deriving relations for both sides and by comparing initial values.
- 3. Such relations are represented in a suitable operator algebra as "annihilating left ideals", also called "annihilators".

- 1. Functions and sequences are represented by their relations: recurrences and differential equations (and initial values).
- 2. Identities are proven by deriving relations for both sides and by comparing initial values.
- 3. Such relations are represented in a suitable operator algebra as "annihilating left ideals", also called "annihilators".
- 4. An annihilating left ideal is given by its Gröbner basis (i.e., a finite set of generators that allows to decide ideal membership and equality of ideals).

- 1. Functions and sequences are represented by their relations: recurrences and differential equations (and initial values).
- 2. Identities are proven by deriving relations for both sides and by comparing initial values.
- 3. Such relations are represented in a suitable operator algebra as "annihilating left ideals", also called "annihilators".
- 4. An annihilating left ideal is given by its Gröbner basis (i.e., a finite set of generators that allows to decide ideal membership and equality of ideals).
- 5. Integrals, sums, and *q*-sums are treated by the method of creative telescoping.

- 1. Functions and sequences are represented by their relations: recurrences and differential equations (and initial values).
- 2. Identities are proven by deriving relations for both sides and by comparing initial values.
- 3. Such relations are represented in a suitable operator algebra as "annihilating left ideals", also called "annihilators".
- 4. An annihilating left ideal is given by its Gröbner basis (i.e., a finite set of generators that allows to decide ideal membership and equality of ideals).
- 5. Integrals, sums, and *q*-sums are treated by the method of creative telescoping.
- The output is always given as an annihilating ideal, not as a closed form.

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-qe^{2i\theta}; q^2)_j (-qe^{-2i\theta}; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function.

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \frac{(-\omega^2;q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2;q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-qe^{2i\theta};q^2)_j (-qe^{-2i\theta};q^2)_j}{(q;q^2)_j (q^2;q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function. Note that

$$\lim_{q \to 1-} C_q(x; \omega(1-q)/2) = \cos(\omega x)$$

$$\lim_{q \to 1-} S_q(x; \omega(1-q)/2) = \sin(\omega x)$$

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-qe^{2i\theta}; q^2)_j (-qe^{-2i\theta}; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function. For simplicity, we replace $e^{i\theta}=A$.

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-qA^2; q^2)_j (-q/A^2; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function. For simplicity, we replace $e^{i\theta}=A$.

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \frac{(-qA^2; q^2)_j (-q/A^2; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function. For simplicity, we replace $e^{i\theta}=A$.

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \frac{(-qA^2; q^2)_j (-q/A^2; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function. For simplicity, we replace $e^{i\theta}=A$. Let us denote the expression inside the sum by $c_{i}(\omega)$.

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \frac{(-qA^2; q^2)_j (-q/A^2; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function. For simplicity, we replace $e^{i\theta}=A$. Let us denote the expression inside the sum by $c_i(\omega)$.

 $\label{lem:continuous} \textbf{CreativeTelescoping} \ \ \text{delivers a telescoper} \ T \ \ \text{and a certificate} \ \ Q$

$$T =$$

$$Q =$$

such that
$$T(c_j(\omega)) + d_{j+1}(\omega) - d_j(\omega) = 0$$
 with $d_j(\omega) := Q(c_j(\omega))$.

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \frac{(-qA^2; q^2)_j (-q/A^2; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function. For simplicity, we replace $e^{i\theta} = A$.

Let us denote the expression inside the sum by $c_i(\omega)$.

CreativeTelescoping delivers a telescoper T and a certificate Q

$$\begin{split} T &= A^2 \big(q^2 \omega^2 + 1\big) S_{\omega,q}^2 + \big(A^4 q^2 \omega^2 - A^2 q - A^2 + q^2 \omega^2\big) S_{\omega,q} \\ &\quad + A^2 q \big(q \omega^2 + 1\big) \\ Q &= \frac{A^2 (q^j - 1) (q^j + 1) \big(q^{2j} - q\big) \big(q \omega^2 + 1\big)}{\omega^2 + 1} \\ \text{such that } T\big(c_i(\omega)\big) + d_{i+1}(\omega) - d_i(\omega) = 0 \text{ with } d_i(\omega) := Q\big(c_i(\omega)\big). \end{split}$$

Recall: $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega) := C_q(x;\omega) + i S_q(x;\omega)$ and

$$C_q(x;\omega) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q\omega^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \frac{(-qA^2; q^2)_j (-q/A^2; q^2)_j}{(q; q^2)_j (q^2; q^2)_j} (-\omega^2)^j$$

is the basic cosine function. For simplicity, we replace $e^{i\theta}=A.$

Let us denote the expression inside the sum by $c_j(\omega)$.

 ${f Creative Telescoping}$ delivers a telescoper T and a certificate Q

$$T = A^{2}(q^{2}\omega^{2} + 1)S_{\omega,q}^{2} + (A^{4}q^{2}\omega^{2} - A^{2}q - A^{2} + q^{2}\omega^{2})S_{\omega,q} + A^{2}q(q\omega^{2} + 1)$$
$$Q = \frac{A^{2}(q^{j} - 1)(q^{j} + 1)(q^{2j} - q)(q\omega^{2} + 1)}{\omega^{2} + 1}$$

such that
$$T(c_j(\omega)) + d_{j+1}(\omega) - d_j(\omega) = 0$$
 with $d_j(\omega) := Q(c_j(\omega))$.

Notation for q-shift operator: $S_{\omega,q}\big(f(\omega)\big):=f(q\omega)$

Creative telescoping

In other words, we obtain the creative telescoping relation

$$\begin{split} A^2 \big(q^2 \omega^2 + 1\big) c_j(q^2 \omega) + \big(A^4 q^2 \omega^2 - A^2 q - A^2 + q^2 \omega^2\big) c_j(q \omega) \\ + A^2 q \big(q \omega^2 + 1\big) c_j(\omega) &= - \big(d_{j+1}(\omega) - d_j(\omega)\big) \end{split}$$
 where
$$d_j(\omega) = \frac{A^2 (q^j - 1) (q^j + 1) \big(q^{2j} - q\big) \big(q \omega^2 + 1\big)}{\omega^2 + 1} c_j(\omega).$$

Creative telescoping

In other words, we obtain the creative telescoping relation

$$A^{2}(q^{2}\omega^{2}+1)c_{j}(q^{2}\omega) + (A^{4}q^{2}\omega^{2} - A^{2}q - A^{2} + q^{2}\omega^{2})c_{j}(q\omega) + A^{2}q(q\omega^{2}+1)c_{j}(\omega) = -(d_{j+1}(\omega) - d_{j}(\omega))$$

where
$$d_j(\omega) = \frac{A^2(q^j - 1)(q^j + 1)(q^{2j} - q)(q\omega^2 + 1)}{\omega^2 + 1}c_j(\omega).$$

Summing the right-hand side from j=0 to $j=\infty$ gives

$$\frac{A^2(-1+q^0)(1+q^0)(q^0-q)(1+q\omega^2)}{1+\omega^2}c_0(\omega) - \frac{qA^2(1+q\omega^2)}{1+\omega^2}c_\infty(\omega)$$

which equals zero.

Creative telescoping

In other words, we obtain the creative telescoping relation

$$A^{2}(q^{2}\omega^{2}+1)c_{j}(q^{2}\omega) + (A^{4}q^{2}\omega^{2} - A^{2}q - A^{2} + q^{2}\omega^{2})c_{j}(q\omega) + A^{2}q(q\omega^{2}+1)c_{j}(\omega) = -(d_{j+1}(\omega) - d_{j}(\omega))$$

where
$$d_j(\omega) = \frac{A^2(q^j - 1)(q^j + 1)(q^{2j} - q)(q\omega^2 + 1)}{\omega^2 + 1}c_j(\omega).$$

Summing the right-hand side from j=0 to $j=\infty$ gives

$$\frac{A^2(-1+q^0)(1+q^0)(q^0-q)(1+q\omega^2)}{1+\omega^2}c_0(\omega) - \frac{qA^2(1+q\omega^2)}{1+\omega^2}c_\infty(\omega)$$

which equals zero.

Hence the telescoper T annihilates $C_q(x;\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j(\omega)$.

Analogously, we find that the basic sine function $S_q(x;\omega)$ satisfies the same q-difference equation (given by the operator T):

$$A^{2}(q^{2}\omega^{2}+1)S_{q}(x;q^{2}\omega) + (A^{4}q^{2}\omega^{2}-A^{2}q-A^{2}+q^{2}\omega^{2})S_{q}(x;q\omega) + A^{2}q(q\omega^{2}+1)S_{q}(x;\omega) = 0.$$

Analogously, we find that the basic sine function $S_q(x;\omega)$ satisfies the same q-difference equation (given by the operator T):

$$A^{2}(q^{2}\omega^{2}+1)S_{q}(x;q^{2}\omega) + (A^{4}q^{2}\omega^{2}-A^{2}q-A^{2}+q^{2}\omega^{2})S_{q}(x;q\omega) + A^{2}q(q\omega^{2}+1)S_{q}(x;\omega) = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega)=C_q(x;\omega)+i\,S_q(x;\omega)$, we could now apply the closure property **DFinitePlus**. . .

Analogously, we find that the basic sine function $S_q(x;\omega)$ satisfies the same q-difference equation (given by the operator T):

$$A^{2}(q^{2}\omega^{2}+1)S_{q}(x;q^{2}\omega) + (A^{4}q^{2}\omega^{2}-A^{2}q-A^{2}+q^{2}\omega^{2})S_{q}(x;q\omega) + A^{2}q(q\omega^{2}+1)S_{q}(x;\omega) = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega)=C_q(x;\omega)+i\,S_q(x;\omega)$, we could now apply the closure property **DFinitePlus**. . .

However, since both $C_q(x;\omega)$ and $S_q(x;\omega)$ satisfy the **same** q-recurrence, there is nothing to do.

Analogously, we find that the basic sine function $S_q(x;\omega)$ satisfies the same q-difference equation (given by the operator T):

$$A^{2}(q^{2}\omega^{2}+1)S_{q}(x;q^{2}\omega) + (A^{4}q^{2}\omega^{2}-A^{2}q-A^{2}+q^{2}\omega^{2})S_{q}(x;q\omega) + A^{2}q(q\omega^{2}+1)S_{q}(x;\omega) = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_q(x;i\omega)=C_q(x;\omega)+i\,S_q(x;\omega)$, we could now apply the closure property **DFinitePlus**. . .

However, since both $C_q(x;\omega)$ and $S_q(x;\omega)$ satisfy the **same** q-recurrence, there is nothing to do.

Hence: we have the annihilator of $\mathcal{E}_q(x; i\omega)$.

Annihilator of q-Gegenbauer

Recall the definition:

$$C_m(\cos\theta;\beta|q) := \sum_{k=0}^m \underbrace{\frac{(\beta;q)_k (\beta;q)_{m-k}}{(q;q)_k (q;q)_{m-k}}}_{\text{summand}} e^{i(m-2k)\theta}.$$

Annihilator of q-Gegenbauer

Recall the definition:

$$C_m(\cos\theta;\beta|q) := \sum_{k=0}^m \underbrace{\frac{(\beta;q)_k (\beta;q)_{m-k}}{(q;q)_k (q;q)_{m-k}}}_{\text{summand}} e^{i(m-2k)\theta}.$$

By applying the command **CreativeTelescoping** to the summand, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \big\{ S_{\omega,q} - 1, -A \big(A^2 + 1\big) V \big(Mq - 1\big) S_{M,q} + \big(V - 1\big) \big(A^2 - V\big) \big(A^2 V - 1\big) S_{V,q} + \\ A^2 \big(V + 1\big) \big(MV^2 - 1\big), (V - 1) \big(qV - 1\big) \big(A^2 - qV\big) \big(A^2 qV - 1\big) S_{V,q}^2 - \\ \big(V - 1\big) \big(A^4 M q^2 V^2 - A^4 qV - A^2 M q^3 V^3 - A^2 M q^2 V^3 + A^2 q + A^2 + \\ M q^2 V^2 - qV \big) S_{V,q} - A^2 q \big(MV^2 - 1\big) \big(MqV^2 - 1\big) \big\}, \end{split}$$

where we use the abbreviations

$$K=q^k, \quad M=q^m, \quad N=q^n, \quad V=q^\nu, \text{ and } \quad \omega=q^w.$$

Annihilator of Jackson's q-Bessel function

Recall the definition:

$$J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q) = \frac{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(\nu+n)n} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{\nu+2n}}{(q;q)_n (q^{\nu+1};q)_n}.$$

Annihilator of Jackson's q-Bessel function

Recall the definition:

$$J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q) = \frac{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(\nu+n)n} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{\nu+2n}}{(q;q)_n (q^{\nu+1};q)_n}.$$

Analogously to the $q\text{-}\mathsf{Gegenbauer}$ polynomial, we compute the annihilator of $J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q)$ by the creative telescoping method (where we use the fact that the sum has natural boundaries):

$$\left\{ (-V\omega - \omega)S_{V,q} + (q\omega^4 + q\omega^2 + \omega^2 + 1)S_{\omega,q} + (\omega^2 - V), S_{M,q} - 1, \\ (q^5V\omega^4 + q^3V\omega^2 + q^2V\omega^2 + V)S_{\omega,q}^2 + (q^2V\omega^2 + qV\omega^2 - V^2 - 1)S_{\omega,q} + V \right\}$$

Annihilator of Jackson's q-Bessel function

Recall the definition:

$$J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q) = \frac{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(\nu+n)n} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{\nu+2n}}{(q;q)_n (q^{\nu+1};q)_n}.$$

Analogously to the $q\text{-}\mathsf{Gegenbauer}$ polynomial, we compute the annihilator of $J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q)$ by the creative telescoping method (where we use the fact that the sum has natural boundaries):

$$\left\{ (-V\omega - \omega)S_{V,q} + (q\omega^4 + q\omega^2 + \omega^2 + 1)S_{\omega,q} + (\omega^2 - V), S_{M,q} - 1, \\ (q^5V\omega^4 + q^3V\omega^2 + q^2V\omega^2 + V)S_{\omega,q}^2 + (q^2V\omega^2 + qV\omega^2 - V^2 - 1)S_{\omega,q} + V \right\}$$

Note that we already included $S_{M,q}$ in the list of operator symbols, for later use.

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

We proceed by computing an annihilator for the factor

$$h_1(\omega, m, \nu) := i^m (1 - q^{\nu+m}).$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

We proceed by computing an annihilator for the factor

$$h_1(\omega, m, \nu) := i^m (1 - q^{\nu+m}).$$

It doesn't contain a sum, thus no creative telescoping is necessary.

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

We proceed by computing an annihilator for the factor

$$h_1(\omega, m, \nu) := i^m (1 - q^{\nu+m}).$$

It doesn't contain a sum, thus no creative telescoping is necessary.

The **Annihilator** command delivers the following output:

$$\left\{S_{\omega,q}-1,(MV-1)S_{V,q}+(1-MqV),(MV-1)S_{M,q}+(i-iMqV)\right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

We proceed by computing an annihilator for the factor

$$h_1(\omega, m, \nu) := i^m (1 - q^{\nu+m}).$$

It doesn't contain a sum, thus no creative telescoping is necessary.

The **Annihilator** command delivers the following output:

$$\big\{S_{\omega,q}-1,(MV-1)S_{V,q}+(1-MqV),(MV-1)S_{M,q}+(i-iMqV)\big\}.$$

Note that in fact it is trivial to compute the generators of this ideal, just consider the quotients

$$\frac{h_1(q\omega,m,\nu)}{h_1(\omega,m,\nu)},\quad \frac{h_1(\omega,m+1,\nu)}{h_1(\omega,m,\nu)},\quad \text{and}\quad \frac{h_1(\omega,m,\nu+1)}{h_1(\omega,m,\nu)}.$$

The annihilator of $q^{m^2/4}$

When trying to compute the annihilating ideal of

$$h_2(m) := q^{m^2/4}$$

by the **Annihilator** command, the **HolonomicFunctions** package is trapped by the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ in the exponent and delivers the fourth-order operator

$$S_{M,q}^4 - q^4 M^2$$
.

The annihilator of $q^{m^2/4}$

When trying to compute the annihilating ideal of

$$h_2(m) := q^{m^2/4}$$

by the **Annihilator** command, the **HolonomicFunctions** package is trapped by the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ in the exponent and delivers the fourth-order operator

$$S_{M,q}^4 - q^4 M^2$$
.

This is not wrong, but not optimal (it is a left multiple of the minimal-order annihilating operator).

The annihilator of $q^{m^2/4}$

When trying to compute the annihilating ideal of

$$h_2(m) := q^{m^2/4}$$

by the **Annihilator** command, the **HolonomicFunctions** package is trapped by the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ in the exponent and delivers the fourth-order operator

$$S_{M,q}^4 - q^4 M^2$$
.

This is not wrong, but not optimal (it is a left multiple of the minimal-order annihilating operator).

Hence, we figure out the minimal-order annihilator by hand, and convert it into the same Ore algebra as the previous annihilators:

$$\{S_{V,q}-1, S_{\omega,q}-1, S_{M,q}^2-Mq\}.$$

Combine h_1 and h_2

We have computed annihilators for both h_1 and h_2

$$h_1(m,\nu) = i^m (1 - q^{\nu+m})$$

 $h_2(m) = q^{m^2/4}$

with respect to the variables ω , m, ν (recall $M=q^m$, $V=q^{\nu}$).

Combine h_1 and h_2

We have computed annihilators for both h_1 and h_2

$$h_1(m,\nu) = i^m (1 - q^{\nu+m})$$

 $h_2(m) = q^{m^2/4}$

with respect to the variables ω , m, ν (recall $M=q^m$, $V=q^{\nu}$).

In order to obtain an annihilator for $h_1 \cdot h_2$, we combine them using the **DFiniteTimes** and obtain:

$$\left\{S_{\omega,q}-1,(MV-1)S_{V,q}+(1-MqV),(MV-1)S_{M,q}^2+(M^2q^3V-Mq)\right\}$$

Annihilator for the summand

Recall the summand on the RHS of the Ismail-Zhang formula:

$$i^{m}(1-q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega;q) C_{m}(x;q^{\nu}|q).$$

Annihilator for the summand

Recall the summand on the RHS of the Ismail-Zhang formula:

$$i^{m}(1-q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega;q) C_{m}(x;q^{\nu}|q).$$

We had derived an annihilator for $J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q)$; apply the command **DFiniteSubstitute** with the substitution $\nu \to \nu + m$ to it.

Annihilator for the summand

Recall the summand on the RHS of the Ismail-Zhang formula:

$$i^{m}(1-q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega;q) C_{m}(x;q^{\nu}|q).$$

We had derived an annihilator for $J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z;q)$; apply the command **DFiniteSubstitute** with the substitution $\nu \to \nu + m$ to it.

Then we combine everyting using again **DFiniteTimes**:

$$\left\{ (1 + q^2 \omega^2 + q^3 \omega^2 + q^5 \omega^4) MV S_{\omega,q}^2 + (qMV\omega^2 + q^2MV\omega^2 - M^2V^2 - 1) S_{\omega,q} + MV, \\ (-A^2MV\omega^2 + \ldots - q^5 A^2 M^5 V^9 \omega^2) S_{V,q}^2 + (A^2MV\omega + \ldots + q^6 A^2 M^5 V^8 \omega^5) S_{V,q} S_{\omega,q} + \\ (-qA^2M^2V^2\omega - \ldots + q^5 A^2M^5 V^8\omega^3) S_{V,q} + (-A^2 + \ldots + q^7 A^2 M^6 V^8\omega^4) S_{\omega,q} + \\ (A^2MV - \ldots + q^6 A^2 M^6 V^8\omega^2), \\ (-A^2V^2\omega^2 + \ldots + q^4 A^2 M^5 V^5\omega^2) S_{M,q}^2 + (qA^2MV\omega - \ldots - q^4 A^2 M^4 V^7\omega^5) S_{V,q} S_{\omega,q} + \\ (-q^2A^2M^2V^2\omega + \ldots - q^3 A^2 M^4 V^7\omega^3) S_{V,q} + (-A^2 + \ldots - q^6 A^2 M^5 V^7\omega^4) S_{\omega,q} + \\ (A^2MV - \ldots - q^5 A^2 M^5 V^7\omega^2) \right\}$$

(full output fills about two pages)

In principle, we should now apply **CreativeTelescoping** to this annihilator.

In principle, we should now apply **CreativeTelescoping** to this annihilator.

However, the computation does not terminate in reasonable time.

Explanation: **CreativeTelescoping** implements Chyzak's algorithm, which is very sensitive about the holonomic rank (our annihilator has holonomic rank 8!).

In principle, we should now apply **CreativeTelescoping** to this annihilator.

However, the computation does not terminate in reasonable time.

Explanation: **CreativeTelescoping** implements Chyzak's algorithm, which is very sensitive about the holonomic rank (our annihilator has holonomic rank 8!).

This means that the certificate is of the form

$$r_{1} + r_{2} \cdot S_{\omega,q} + r_{3} \cdot S_{M,q} + r_{4} \cdot S_{V,q} + r_{5} \cdot S_{\omega,q} S_{M,q} \\ + r_{6} \cdot S_{\omega,q} S_{V,q} + r_{7} \cdot S_{M,q} S_{V,q} + r_{8} \cdot S_{\omega,q} S_{M,q} S_{V,q}$$

with $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega, M, V)$.

In principle, we should now apply **CreativeTelescoping** to this annihilator.

However, the computation does not terminate in reasonable time.

Explanation: **Creative Telescoping** implements Chyzak's algorithm, which is very sensitive about the holonomic rank (our annihilator has holonomic rank 8!).

This means that the certificate is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 + r_2 \cdot S_{\omega,q} + r_3 \cdot S_{M,q} + r_4 \cdot S_{V,q} + r_5 \cdot S_{\omega,q} S_{M,q} \\ + r_6 \cdot S_{\omega,q} S_{V,q} + r_7 \cdot S_{M,q} S_{V,q} + r_8 \cdot S_{\omega,q} S_{M,q} S_{V,q} \end{aligned}$$

with $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega, M, V)$.

Chyzak's algorithm determines the denominators of r_1, \ldots, r_8 by solving a coupled system q-difference equations of dimension 8.

A more direct approach (proposed in 2010 by CK) uses heuristics to "guess" the denominators and then solves a linear system to the telescoper.

A more direct approach (proposed in 2010 by CK) uses heuristics to "guess" the denominators and then solves a linear system to the telescoper.

Applying the corresponding command **FindCreativeTelescoping** to our annihilator, we obtain...

A more direct approach (proposed in 2010 by CK) uses heuristics to "guess" the denominators and then solves a linear system to the telescoper.

Applying the corresponding command **FindCreativeTelescoping** to our annihilator, we obtain... nothing!

A more direct approach (proposed in 2010 by CK) uses heuristics to "guess" the denominators and then solves a linear system to the telescoper.

Applying the corresponding command **FindCreativeTelescoping** to our annihilator, we obtain... nothing!

The reason is that the heuristic fails. To overcome this problem, we figure out the denominators by hand (by trial and error).

A more direct approach (proposed in 2010 by CK) uses heuristics to "guess" the denominators and then solves a linear system to the telescoper.

Applying the corresponding command **FindCreativeTelescoping** to our annihilator, we obtain... nothing!

The reason is that the heuristic fails. To overcome this problem, we figure out the denominators by hand (by trial and error).

Then everything works fine (the computation takes only 18 seconds), and the telescopers are

$$(V-1)S_{V,q} + \omega, (-q^5A^2\omega^4 - q^3A^2\omega^2 - q^2A^2\omega^2 - A^2)S_{\omega,q}^2 + (-q^2A^4V\omega^2 + qA^2V + A^2V - q^2V\omega^2)S_{\omega,q} - qA^2V^2$$

(the certificate is large).

Annihilators for both sides

This does not quite match with the annihilator of the left-hand side, but we are still missing the factor in front of the sum:

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

Annihilators for both sides

This does not quite match with the annihilator of the left-hand side, but we are still missing the factor in front of the sum:

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

Performing **DFiniteTimes** with the annihilator of the reciprocal of this factor, we obtain exactly the same annihilating operator as for the basic exponential function.

Annihilators for both sides

This does not quite match with the annihilator of the left-hand side, but we are still missing the factor in front of the sum:

$$\mathcal{E}_{q}(x; i\omega) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} \omega^{-\nu}}{(q^{\nu}; q)_{\infty} (-q\omega^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^{m} (1 - q^{\nu+m}) q^{m^{2}/4} J_{\nu+m}^{(2)}(2\omega; q) C_{m}(x; q^{\nu}|q)$$

Performing **DFiniteTimes** with the annihilator of the reciprocal of this factor, we obtain exactly the same annihilating operator as for the basic exponential function.

The proof is completed by comparing two initial values.