

This *Mathematica* notebook accompanies the article "Zeilberger's Holonomic Ansatz for Pfaffians" by Masao Ishikawa and Christoph Koutschan (Proceedings of the ISSAC 2012, pp. 227-233).

The packages that are required to reproduce the computations can be downloaded freely from the following webpage:
<http://www.risc.jku.at/research/combinat/software/>

```
<< Guess.m
<< HolonomicFunctions.m
<< Hyper.m
```

Guess Package by Manuel Kauers – © RISC Linz – V 0.41 2011-11-22

HolonomicFunctions package by Christoph Koutschan, RISC-Linz, Version 1.6 (12.04.2012)
 → Type ?HolonomicFunctions for help

Motzkin Number Pfaffian (Theorem 2)

Evaluate the Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(a(i, j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n})$ where $a(i, j) = (j - i)M(i + j - 3)$ with $M(n)$ denoting the Motzkin numbers:

$M(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n}{2k} \binom{2k}{k}$. The evaluation of this Pfaffian was conjectured in the paper "Pfaffian decomposition and a Pfaffian analogue of q -Catalan Hankel determinants" (Masao Ishikawa, Hiroyuki Tagawa, Jiang Zeng, arXiv:1009.2004), see Formula (6.3) there.

```
MotzkinNumber[0 | 1] := 1; MotzkinNumber[n_Integer /; n > 1] :=
  MotzkinNumber[n] = (3 * (n - 1) * MotzkinNumber[n - 2] + (2 n + 1) * MotzkinNumber[n - 1]) / (n + 2);

Clear[a, aij];
a[i_Integer, j_Integer] := (j - i) * MotzkinNumber[i + j - 3];
aij = DFiniteTimes[Annihilator[j - i, {S[i], S[j]}],
  First[CreativeTelescoping[Binomial[i + j - 3, 2 k] * CatalanNumber[k], S[k] - 1, {S[i], S[j]}]]]
{(-1 + i - j) Si + (-1 - i + j) Sj, (-i + i3 + j - 2 i j - i2 j + 2 j2 - i j2 + j3) Sj2 +
(-2 i + 5 i2 - 2 i3 + 2 j - 2 i j + 2 i2 j - 3 j2 + 2 i j2 - 2 j3) Sj +
(12 - 24 i + 15 i2 - 3 i3 + 12 j - 12 i j + 3 i2 j - 3 j2 + 3 i j2 - 3 j3)}
```

Now we guess an implicit description (linear recurrences) for the auxiliary function $c_{2n,i}$. We set the option `AdditionalEquations` to `Infinity`, which means that all data is used for guessing. Thus we can be sure that the resulting recurrences hold for all values in the given array. In other words, it doesn't make a difference whether we produce values for $c_{2n,i}$ from its definition (recurrences plus initial values) or from the data array.

```

Timing[
  dim = 30; data = {};
  Do[
    matrix = Table[a[i, j], {j, 2 n - 1}, {i, 2 n - 1}];
    vec = First[LinSolveQ[matrix]];
    vec = PadRight[vec / Last[vec], 2 * dim];
    AppendTo[data, vec];
    , {n, dim}];

  (* The parameters of the following commands are the result of trial and error. *)
  guess1 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n + 1, i + 1]},
    {n, i}, 3, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  guess2 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n + 2, i]},
    {n, i}, 6, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  guess3 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n, i + 3]},
    {n, i}, 4, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  c2ni = OreGroebnerBasis[ToOrePolynomial[First /@ {guess1, guess2, guess3}, f[n, i]]];
]
{15.605, Null}

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{i(-1+2n)(-3+4n)S_n S_i - 24i(1+i)nS_i^2 - (-1+i-2n)(-1+2n)(-3+4n)S_n - \\
& \quad i(1-2n+16in+8n^2)S_i + (-1+i+2n)(1-2n+8in-8n^2), \\
& n(-1+2n)(2-i+2n)(3-i+2n)(-3+4n)(1+4n)S_n^2 - 36i(1+i)n(1+n)(1+2n)(1+4n)S_i^2 - \\
& \quad (-1+2n)(-3+4n)(-i+i^2+10n-16in+8i^2n+6n^2-16in^2+8i^2n^2-20n^3-16n^4)S_n - \\
& \quad 6i(1+4i)n(1+n)(1+2n)(1+4n)S_i + \\
& \quad (1+n)(-1+i+2n)(1+4n)(i+8n+6in-24n^2+32in^2-32n^3), \\
& 18i(1+i)(2+i)nS_i^3 + 3i(1+i)(3+14i-12n)nS_i^2 + (-1+i-2n)(i-2n)(-1+2n)(-3+4n)S_n + \\
& \quad 2in(-2-4i+7i^2-3n-12in)S_i - (-1+i+2n)(i-2n-3in+10i^2n+4n^2-24in^2+16n^3)\}
\end{aligned}$$

```
UnderTheStaircase[c2ni]
```

```
{1, Si, Sn, Si2}
```

```
Factor[FGLM[c2ni, Lexicographic]]
```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{18(1+i)(2+i)(3+i)S_i^4 + 3(1+i)(2+i)(11+8i)S_i^3 - (1+i)(7+7i+4i^2+24n-24n^2)S_i^2 + \\
& \quad (-i-9i^2-8i^3-4n-16in+4n^2+16in^2)S_i + (-1+2i)(1+i-2n)(-1+i+2n), \\
& \quad (-1+i-2n)(i-2n)(-1+2n)(-3+4n)S_n + 18i(1+i)(2+i)nS_i^3 + 3i(1+i)(3+14i-12n)nS_i^2 + \\
& \quad 2in(-2-4i+7i^2-3n-12in)S_i - (-1+i+2n)(i-2n-3in+10i^2n+4n^2-24in^2+16n^3)\}
\end{aligned}$$

The recurrences that generate the annihilating ideal $c2ni$ do not have any singularities, and thus we have to specify only 4 initial values, corresponding to the monomials under the stairs:

```
AnnihilatorSingularities[c2ni, {1, 1}]
```

```
{{{i -> 1, n -> 1}, True}, {{i -> 1, n -> 2}, True}, {{i -> 2, n -> 1}, True}, {{i -> 3, n -> 1}, True}}
```

■ Boundary conditions for $c_{2n,i}$

We show that $c_{2n,i} = 0$ for $i \leq 0$ and for $i \geq 2n$. This will be useful for the summations later ("natural boundaries").

Factor[**c2ni**[[2]]]

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -n(-1+2n)(2-i+2n)(3-i+2n)(-3+4n)(1+4n)S_n^2 + 36i(1+i)n(1+n)(1+2n)(1+4n)S_i^2 + \\
 & (-1+2n)(-3+4n)(-i+i^2+10n-16in+8i^2n+6n^2-16in^2+8i^2n^2-20n^3-16n^4)S_n + \\
 & 6i(1+4i)n(1+n)(1+2n)(1+4n)S_i - \\
 & (1+n)(-1+i+2n)(1+4n)(i+8n+6in-24n^2+32in^2-32n^3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Provided with the appropriate initial conditions ($c_{2,0} = c_{4,0} = 0$), we see that this recurrence produces zeros on the line $i = 0$, since the terms S_i^2 and S_i vanish. Similarly for $i = -1$, since the term S_i^2 still vanishes (provided that $c_{2,-1} = c_{4,-1} = 0$). Because of these two zero rows, it is clear that everything beyond them (i.e., for $i < -1$) must be zero as well. The following computation shows that setting the initial conditions to 0 is compatible with the recurrences:

```

test = ApplyOreOperator[c2ni, c[n, i]]; Union[
  Flatten[Table[test, {n, 1, 5}, {i, -5, 5}] /. c[_ , i_?NonPositive] -> 0 /. c[a_] -> data[[a]]]
  {0}

```

Now recall the leading coefficient and the support of the first defining recurrence of $c_{2n,i}$:

```

{Factor[LeadingCoefficient[c2ni[[1]]], Support[c2ni[[1]]]}
{i(-1+2n)(-3+4n), {S_n S_i, S_i^2, S_n, S_i, 1}}

```

Since this coefficient does not vanish for any integer point in the area $n \geq 2$ and $i \geq 2n$, we can use this recurrence to produce the values of $c_{2n,i}$ in this area. The support of this recurrence indicates that we need only to show that $c_{2n,2n} = 0$.

```

Factor[LeadingCoefficient[First[DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {i -> 2 n}]]]]
648(1+n)(2+n)(3+2n)(5+2n)(-3+4n)(1+4n)(5+4n)(10+191n+814n^2+560n^3)

```

The leading coefficient having no relevant singularities and the initial values being zero (by construction) show this. With the same argument it is clear that also $c_{2,i} = 0$.

```

Factor[LeadingCoefficient[First[DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {n -> 1}]]]]
18(2+i)(3+i)

```

■ Identity (1)

We compute an annihilating operator for $c_{2n,2n-1}$. Its leading coefficient has no nonnegative integer roots, and it has the operator $(S_n - 1)$ as a right factor. Therefore it annihilates any constant sequence.

```

Factor[diag = DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {i → 2 n - 1}]]
{648 (2 + n) (3 + n) (3 + 2 n) (5 + 2 n) (9 + 4 n) (-163 269 - 1 249 360 n -
  2 766 651 n2 + 318 598 n3 + 9 388 056 n4 + 12 424 768 n5 + 6 117 888 n6 + 1 003 520 n7) Sn4 -
  9 (2 + n) (3 + 2 n) (-4 055 570 397 - 36 787 892 604 n - 112 871 472 099 n2 - 91 332 046 174 n3 +
  250 055 729 072 n4 + 709 690 617 792 n5 + 790 485 373 440 n6 + 477 893 039 616 n7 +
  163 799 322 624 n8 + 29 872 783 360 n9 + 2 247 884 800 n10) Sn3 +
  2 (7 + 4 n) (-10 807 720 002 - 108 882 519 192 n - 389 769 960 693 n2 - 456 703 818 406 n3 +
  748 802 397 776 n4 + 3 180 051 863 416 n5 + 4 691 486 928 384 n6 + 3 859 379 040 096 n7 +
  1 918 451 296 896 n8 + 571 364 924 416 n9 + 93 646 249 984 n10 + 6 470 696 960 n11) Sn2 -
  (3 + 4 n) (7 + 4 n) (-807 182 010 - 9 027 892 737 n - 31 775 261 328 n2 - 11 738 389 051 n3 +
  170 887 753 992 n4 + 429 645 397 612 n5 + 483 258 827 168 n6 + 299 664 483 136 n7 +
  105 291 703 808 n8 + 19 565 342 720 n9 + 1 485 209 600 n10) Sn +
  2 (-1 + 4 n)2 (1 + 4 n) (3 + 4 n) (7 + 4 n) (25 073 550 + 137 581 164 n + 291 607 399 n2 +
  319 599 462 n3 + 198 403 416 n4 + 70 206 016 n5 + 13 142 528 n6 + 1 003 520 n7) }

OreReduce[diag, Annihilator[1, S[n]]]
{0}

```

The four initial values are 1 by construction and therefore $c_{2n,2n-1} = 1$ for all n .

■ Identity (2)

Compute a creative telescoping operator for the left-hand side.

```

alg = OreAlgebra[S[n], S[i], S[j]];
Timing[ByteCount[smnd = DFiniteTimes[
  ToOrePolynomial[Append[aij, S[n] - 1], alg], ToOrePolynomial[Append[c2ni, S[j] - 1], alg]]]]
{0.896056, 482488}

Timing[fct = FindCreativeTelescoping[smnd, S[i] - 1];]
{24.9856, Null}

Factor[First[fct]]
{-j (j + 2 n) (-3 + 4 n) Sn + n (-1 - j + 2 n) (1 + 4 n) Sj + j (j - n) (1 + 4 n) ,
  -(2 + j - 2 n) (j + 2 n) Sj2 + (1 + j) (1 + 2 j) Sj + 3 j (1 + j) }

```

These two operators annihilate the left-hand-side expression of identity (2). If we consider them as the defining recurrences for a bivariate sequence (in n and j) then we need the following initial values to fill the area $1 \leq j < 2n$:

```

AnnihilatorSingularities[fct[[1]], {1, 1}, Assumptions → j < 2 n]
{{{j → 1, n → 1}, True}, {{j → 1, n → 2}, True}, {{j → 2, n → 2}, True}}

ReleaseHold[Hold[Sum[data[[n, i]] * a[i, j], {i, 1, 2 n - 1}]] /. (First /@ %)]
{0, 0, 0}

```

This concludes the proof of (2).

Remark: note that the above reasoning is about the maximal possible area. If one tries to extend it further, the first step being $j = 2n$, the second recurrence found above breaks down:

```

Collect[ApplyOreOperator[fct[[1, 2]], f[n, j]] /. j -> j - 2, f[_], Factor]
3 (-2 + j) (-1 + j) f[n, -2 + j] + (-1 + j) (-3 + 2 j) f[n, -1 + j] - (j - 2 n) (-2 + j + 2 n) f[n, j]

AnnihilatorSingularities[fct[[1]], {1, 1}, Assumptions -> j ≤ 2 n]
{{{j -> 1, n -> 1}, True}, {{j -> 2, n -> 1}, True}, {{j -> 2, n -> 2}, True},
{{j -> 2 + 2 C[1], n -> 1 + C[1]}, C[1] ∈ Integers && C[1] ≥ 0}}

```

Indeed, the values for $j = 2n$ are nonzero as we demonstrate in the next section.

■ Identity (3)

```

ai2n = DFiniteSubstitute[aij, {j -> 2 n}, Algebra -> OreAlgebra[S[n], S[i]]]
{ (i + 2 i^2 + i^3 - 2 n - 4 i n - 2 i^2 n - 4 i n^2 + 8 n^3) S_n +
  (-2 i + 5 i^2 - 2 i^3 + 4 n - 4 i n + 4 i^2 n - 12 n^2 + 8 i n^2 - 16 n^3) S_i +
  (-12 + 9 i^2 - 3 i^3 + 24 n - 24 i n + 6 i^2 n + 12 n^2 + 12 i n^2 - 24 n^3),
  (i + 2 i^2 + i^3 - 2 n - 4 i n - 2 i^2 n - 4 i n^2 + 8 n^3) S_i^2 +
  (2 i - 3 i^2 - 2 i^3 - 4 n - 4 i n + 4 i^2 n + 20 n^2 + 8 i n^2 - 16 n^3) S_i +
  (12 + 12 i - 3 i^2 - 3 i^3 - 48 n - 24 i n + 6 i^2 n + 60 n^2 + 12 i n^2 - 24 n^3) }

```

Compute recurrences for the summand of identity (3):

```

Timing[ByteCount[smnd = DFiniteTimes[c2ni, ai2n]]]
{8.70454, 2 593 640}

```

Compute a creative telescoping operator in order to deal with the summation in identity (3):

```

Timing[ByteCount /@ (fct = FindCreativeTelescoping[smnd, S[i] - 1])]
{436.103, {2328, 512 464}}

fct = << "fct_6.3.m";

```

Check the correctness of the creative telescoping operator (show that it is a member of the left ideal generated by the recurrences of smnd):

```

{{principalPart}, {{deltaPart}}} = fct;
Timing[OreReduce[principalPart + (S[i] - 1) ** deltaPart, smnd]]
{8.28852, 0}

```

Hence the ratio $r(n) = \frac{b(2n)}{b(2n-2)}$ satisfies the following recurrence (actually from $n = 1$ on, since the Pfaffian evaluation is also true for $n = 0$):

```

rec = ApplyOreOperator[Factor[principalPart], r[n]]
9 n (1 + 2 n) (1 + 4 n) (8 + 7 n) r[n] -
(-3 + 4 n) (30 + 346 n + 687 n^2 + 350 n^3) r[1 + n] + 2 (-3 + 4 n) (1 + 4 n) (3 + 4 n) (1 + 7 n) r[2 + n]

```

Together with the initial values we get a closed form for this quotient.

```

Table[Sum[data[[n, i]] * a[i, 2 n], {i, 1, 2 n - 1}], {n, 1, 2}]
{1, 5}

```

```
RSolve[{rec == 0, r[1] == 1, r[2] == 5}, r[n], n]
{{r[n] -> -3 + 4 n}}
```

It follows that $b(2n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{b(2k)}{b(2k-2)} = \prod_{k=1}^n (4k-3) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (4k+1)$.

Central Delannoy Number Pfaffian (Theorem 3)

Evaluate the Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(a(i, j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n})$ where $a(i, j) = (j-i)D(i+j-3)$ with $D(n)$ denoting the central Delannoy numbers:

$D(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k}$. The evaluation of this Pfaffian was conjectured in the paper "Pfaffian decomposition and a Pfaffian analogue of q -Catalan Hankel determinants" (Masao Ishikawa, Hiroyuki Tagawa, Jiang Zeng, arXiv:1009.2004), see Formula (6.4) there.

```
CentralDelannoyNumber[0] := 1;
CentralDelannoyNumber[1] := 3;
CentralDelannoyNumber[n_Integer /; n > 1] := CentralDelannoyNumber[n] =
  (- (n - 1) * CentralDelannoyNumber[n - 2] + 3 * (2 n - 1) * CentralDelannoyNumber[n - 1]) / n;

Clear[a, aij];
a[i_Integer, j_Integer] := (j - i) * CentralDelannoyNumber[i + j - 3];
aij = Annihilator[
  (j - i) * Sum[Binomial[i + j - 3, k] * Binomial[i + j - 3 + k, k], {k, 0, i + j - 3}], {S[i], S[j]};
Factor[
  aij]
{(-1 + i - j) Si + (-1 - i + j) Sj, (-1 + i - j) (i - j) (-1 + i + j) Si2 -
  3 (-2 + i - j) (i - j) (-3 + 2 i + 2 j) Sj + (-2 + i - j) (-1 + i - j) (-2 + i + j)}
```

Now we guess an implicit description (linear recurrences) for the auxiliary function $c_{2n,i}$. We set the option `AdditionalEquations` to `Infinity`, which means that all data is used for guessing. Thus we can be sure that the resulting recurrences hold for all values in the given array. In other words, it doesn't make a difference whether we produce values for $c_{2n,i}$ from its definition (recurrences plus initial values) or from the data array.

```
Timing[
  dim = 30; data = {};
  Do[
    matrix = Table[a[i, j], {j, 2 n - 1}, {i, 2 n - 1}];
    vec = First[LinSolveQ[matrix]];
    vec = PadRight[vec / Last[vec], 2 * dim];
    AppendTo[data, vec];
    , {n, dim}];

  (* The parameters of the following commands are the result of trial and error. *)
  guess1 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n + 1, i + 1]},
    {n, i}, 4, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  guess2 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n + 2, i]},
    {n, i}, 8, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  guess3 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n, i + 3]},
    {n, i}, 5, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  c2ni = OreGroebnerBasis[ToOrePolynomial[First /@ {guess1, guess2, guess3}, f[n, i]]];
]
{16.653, Null}
```

Factor[c2ni]

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ 3 i (-1+n) (-1+2 n) (-5+4 n) S_n S_i + \\ & 8 i (1+i) n (-1+2 n) S_i^2 - (-1+i-2 n) (-1+n) (-1+2 n) (-5+4 n) S_n - \\ & 6 i n (11-8 i-22 n+16 i n+8 n^2) S_i - 2 n (-3+i+2 n) (-3+4 i-2 n-8 i n+8 n^2), \\ & (-3+i-2 n) (-2+i-2 n) (-1+n) (-1+2 n)^2 (1+2 n) (-5+4 n) (-1+4 n) S_n^2 - \\ & 8 i (1+i) n (1+n) (-1+2 n) (1+2 n)^2 (-1+4 n) S_i^2 - \\ & 2 (-1+n) (1+n) (-1+2 n) (-5+4 n) (21-8 i-2 n-60 n^2-64 i n^2+32 i^2 n^2+8 n^3+160 n^4) S_n + \\ & 12 i (-1+4 i) n (1+n) (-1+2 n) (1+2 n)^2 (-1+4 n) S_i + \\ & 4 (1+n) (1+2 n)^2 (-3+i+2 n) (-1+4 n) (-18+9 i+57 n-16 i n-62 n^2+4 i n^2+24 n^3), \\ & -6 i (1+i) (2+i) n (-1+2 n) S_i^3 + i (1+i) n (-1+2 n) (-27+70 i+4 n) S_i^2 - \\ & 2 (-1+i-2 n) (i-2 n) (-1+n) (-1+2 n) (-5+4 n) S_n - \\ & 6 i n (-1+2 n) (13-62 i+35 i^2-n+4 i n) S_i + \\ & n (-3+i+2 n) (39 i-34 i^2-24 n-14 i n+68 i^2 n-16 n^2-96 i n^2+64 n^3) \} \end{aligned}$$

The recurrences become slightly nicer when we transform the Gröbner basis to the lexicographic monomial order (however, we will work with the original c2ni, which corresponds to degree lexicographic order):

Factor[FGLM[c2ni, Lexicographic]]

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ 2 (1+i) (2+i) (3+i) S_i^4 - 3 (1+i) (2+i) (5+8 i) S_i^3 + (1+i) (-31+19 i+76 i^2+16 n-8 n^2) S_i^2 - \\ & 3 (4-13 i-9 i^2+8 i^3-8 n+32 i n+4 n^2-16 i n^2) S_i + (-3+2 i) (1+i-2 n) (-3+i+2 n), \\ & 2 (-1+i-2 n) (i-2 n) (-1+n) (-1+2 n) (-5+4 n) S_n + 6 i (1+i) (2+i) n (-1+2 n) S_i^3 - \\ & i (1+i) n (-1+2 n) (-27+70 i+4 n) S_i^2 + 6 i n (-1+2 n) (13-62 i+35 i^2-n+4 i n) S_i - \\ & n (-3+i+2 n) (39 i-34 i^2-24 n-14 i n+68 i^2 n-16 n^2-96 i n^2+64 n^3) \} \end{aligned}$$

UnderTheStaircase[c2ni]

$$\{1, S_i, S_n, S_i^2\}$$

We determine the points for which the recurrences in c2ni cannot be applied. We need to include the initial conditions for those points explicitly.

AnnihilatorSingularities[c2ni, {1, 1}]

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \{i \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow 1\}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{i \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow 2\}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{i \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow 3\}, \text{True} \}, \\ & \{ \{i \rightarrow 2, n \rightarrow 1\}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{i \rightarrow 2, n \rightarrow 2\}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{i \rightarrow 3, n \rightarrow 1\}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{i \rightarrow 3, n \rightarrow 2\}, \text{True} \} \} \end{aligned}$$

■ Boundary conditions for $c_{2n,i}$

We show that $c_{2n,i} = 0$ for $i \leq 0$ and for $i \geq 2n$. This will be useful for the summations later ("natural boundaries").

Factor[c2ni[[2]]]

$$\begin{aligned} & (-3+i-2 n) (-2+i-2 n) (-1+n) (-1+2 n)^2 (1+2 n) (-5+4 n) (-1+4 n) S_n^2 - \\ & 8 i (1+i) n (1+n) (-1+2 n) (1+2 n)^2 (-1+4 n) S_i^2 - \\ & 2 (-1+n) (1+n) (-1+2 n) (-5+4 n) (21-8 i-2 n-60 n^2-64 i n^2+32 i^2 n^2+8 n^3+160 n^4) S_n + \\ & 12 i (-1+4 i) n (1+n) (-1+2 n) (1+2 n)^2 (-1+4 n) S_i + \\ & 4 (1+n) (1+2 n)^2 (-3+i+2 n) (-1+4 n) (-18+9 i+57 n-16 i n-62 n^2+4 i n^2+24 n^3) \end{aligned}$$

Provided with the appropriate initial conditions ($c_{2,0} = c_{4,0} = 0$), we see that this recurrence produces zeros on the line $i = 0$, since the terms S_i^2 and S_i vanish. Similarly for $i = -1$, since the term S_i^2 still vanishes (provided that $c_{2,-1} = c_{4,-1} = 0$). Because of these two zero rows, it is clear that everything beyond them (i.e., for $i < -1$) must be zero as well. The following computation shows that setting the initial conditions to 0 is compatible with the recurrences:

```
test = ApplyOreOperator[c2ni, c[n, i]]; Union[
  Flatten[Table[test, {n, 1, 5}, {i, -5, 5}] /. c[_ , i_?NonPositive] -> 0 /. c[a___] -> data[[a]]]]
{0}
```

Now recall the leading coefficient and the support of the first defining recurrence of $c_{2n,i}$:

```
{Factor[LeadingCoefficient[c2ni[[1]]], Support[c2ni[[1]]]}
{3 i (-1 + n) (-1 + 2 n) (-5 + 4 n), {S_n S_i, S_i^2, S_n, S_i, 1}}
```

Since this coefficient does not vanish for any integer point in the area $n \geq 2$ and $i \geq 2n$, we can use this recurrence to produce the values of $c_{2n,i}$ in this area. The support of this recurrence indicates that we need only to show that $c_{2n,2n} = 0$.

```
Factor[LeadingCoefficient[First[DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {i -> 2 n}]]]]
4 (-1 + n) n (1 + n) (1 + 2 n) (3 + 2 n) (5 + 2 n) (-5 + 4 n) (-1 + 4 n) (3 + 4 n) (4 - 59 n + 190 n^2 + 336 n^3)
```

The leading coefficient having no relevant singularities and the initial values being zero (by construction) show this. With the same argument it is clear that also $c_{2,i} = 0$.

```
Factor[LeadingCoefficient[First[DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {n -> 1}]]]]
6 i (1 + i) (2 + i)
```

■ Identity (1)

We compute an annihilating operator for $c_{2n,2n-1}$. Its leading coefficient has no nonnegative integer roots, and it has the operator $(S_n - 1)$ as a right factor. Therefore it annihilates any constant sequence.

```
Factor[diag = DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {i -> 2 n - 1}]]
{4 (1 + n) (2 + n) (3 + 2 n) (5 + 2 n)^2 (7 + 4 n)
(50 900 - 863 022 n + 4 845 391 n^2 + 414 996 n^3 - 82 083 920 n^4 + 50 982 272 n^5 + 395 635 376 n^6 -
99 949 760 n^7 - 712 214 400 n^8 - 91 284 480 n^9 + 389 265 408 n^10 + 151 732 224 n^11) S_n^4 -
(1 + n) (3 + 2 n)^2 (-2 451 046 500 - 7 297 862 370 n + 235 749 825 109 n^2 - 52 228 728 380 n^3 -
3 854 392 971 320 n^4 - 1 371 957 867 328 n^5 + 19 486 466 742 288 n^6 + 18 945 985 923 648 n^7 -
28 244 533 036 800 n^8 - 48 432 778 639 360 n^9 - 7 800 420 883 456 n^10 + 25 473 012 805 632 n^11 +
19 978 256 793 600 n^12 + 5 935 562 293 248 n^13 + 645 772 345 344 n^14) S_n^3 +
3 (1 + 2 n) (5 + 4 n) (-65 210 903 100 + 160 231 012 560 n + 2 925 401 846 821 n^2 - 2 360 520 995 393 n^3 -
40 915 166 897 074 n^4 - 19 439 672 623 544 n^5 + 191 488 486 600 560 n^6 + 261 048 493 548 336 n^7 -
191 037 865 860 000 n^8 - 604 188 020 398 848 n^9 - 323 531 076 363 520 n^10 + 201 099 237 828 608 n^11 +
328 823 156 527 104 n^12 + 167 070 778 785 792 n^13 + 39 345 870 864 384 n^14 + 3 631 862 513 664 n^15) S_n^2 -
2 (-1 + 2 n) (1 + 4 n) (5 + 4 n) (94 178 342 040 - 379 115 774 291 n - 4 071 942 782 050 n^2 +
283 702 644 303 n^3 + 47 038 371 379 798 n^4 + 93 154 230 866 368 n^5 - 18 534 676 587 616 n^6 -
251 614 448 451 280 n^7 - 283 378 414 266 784 n^8 - 32 412 725 874 304 n^9 + 182 417 276 284 160 n^10 +
174 991 159 944 192 n^11 + 75 184 616 779 776 n^12 + 16 128 217 792 512 n^13 + 1 399 578 034 176 n^14) S_n +
16 (-3 + 2 n) (-3 + 4 n)^2 (-1 + 4 n) (1 + 4 n) (5 + 4 n) (6 530 985 + 653 245 388 n + 6 492 966 459 n^2 +
28 792 131 156 n^3 + 73 069 633 600 n^4 + 117 135 168 992 n^5 + 123 932 110 704 n^6 +
87 699 576 640 n^7 + 41 018 985 600 n^8 + 12 146 641 920 n^9 + 2 058 319 872 n^10 + 151 732 224 n^11) }
```

```
OreReduce[diag, Annihilator[1, S[n]]]
{0}
```

The four initial values are 1 by construction and therefore $c_{2n,2n-1} = 1$ for all n .

Identity (2)

Compute a creative telescoping operator for the left-hand side.

```
alg = OreAlgebra[S[n], S[i], S[j]];
Timing[ByteCount[smnd = DFiniteTimes[
  ToOrePolynomial[Append[aij, S[n] - 1], alg], ToOrePolynomial[Append[c2ni, S[j] - 1], alg]]]]
{1.00806, 642120}

Timing[ByteCount /@ (fct = FindCreativeTelescoping[smnd, S[i] - 1])]
{25.6496, {5016, 92744}}

Factor[First[fct]]
{-2 j (-1 + 2 n) (-2 + j + 2 n) (-5 + 4 n) S_n - 3 (1 + j - 2 n) (-3 + 2 n) (-1 + 2 n) (-1 + 4 n) S_j +
  j (-3 + 2 n) (-1 + 4 n) (-9 + 4 j + 10 n), (2 + j - 2 n) (-2 + j + 2 n) S_j^2 - 3 (1 + j) (-1 + 2 j) S_j + j (1 + j)}
```

These two operators annihilate the left-hand-side expression of identity (2). If we consider them as the defining recurrences for a bivariate sequence (in n and j) then we need the following initial values to fill the area $1 \leq j < 2n$:

```
AnnihilatorSingularities[fct[[1]], {1, 1}, Assumptions -> j < 2 n]
{{{j -> 1, n -> 1}, True}, {{j -> 1, n -> 2}, True}, {{j -> 2, n -> 2}, True}}

ReleaseHold[Hold[Sum[data[[n, i]] * a[i, j], {i, 1, 2 n - 1}]] /. (First /@ %)]
{0, 0, 0}
```

This concludes the proof of (2).

Remark: note that the above reasoning is about the maximal possible area. If one tries to extend it further, the first step being $j = 2n$, the second recurrence found above breaks down:

```
Collect[ApplyOreOperator[fct[[1, 2]], f[n, j]] /. j -> j - 2, f[___], Factor]
(-2 + j) (-1 + j) f[n, -2 + j] - 3 (-1 + j) (-5 + 2 j) f[n, -1 + j] + (j - 2 n) (-4 + j + 2 n) f[n, j]

AnnihilatorSingularities[fct[[1]], {1, 1}, Assumptions -> j ≤ 2 n]
{{{j -> 1, n -> 1}, True}, {{j -> 2, n -> 1}, True}, {{j -> 2, n -> 2}, True},
{{j -> 2 + 2 C[1], n -> 1 + C[1]}, C[1] ∈ Integers && C[1] ≥ 0}}
```

Indeed, the values for $j = 2n$ are nonzero as we demonstrate in the next section.

Identity (3)

```
ai2n = DFiniteSubstitute[aij, {j -> 2 n}, Algebra -> OreAlgebra[S[n], S[i]]]
{(-i + i^3 + 2 n + 4 i n - 2 i^2 n - 8 n^2 - 4 i n^2 + 8 n^3) S_n +
  (-18 i + 21 i^2 - 6 i^3 + 36 n - 36 i n + 12 i^2 n - 12 n^2 + 24 i n^2 - 48 n^3) S_i +
  (4 - 3 i^2 + i^3 - 8 n + 8 i n - 2 i^2 n - 4 n^2 - 4 i n^2 + 8 n^3),
  (-i + i^3 + 2 n + 4 i n - 2 i^2 n - 8 n^2 - 4 i n^2 + 8 n^3) S_i^2 +
  (18 i - 3 i^2 - 6 i^3 - 36 n - 36 i n + 12 i^2 n + 84 n^2 + 24 i n^2 - 48 n^3) S_i +
  (-4 - 4 i + i^2 + i^3 + 16 n + 8 i n - 2 i^2 n - 20 n^2 - 4 i n^2 + 8 n^3)}
```

Compute recurrences for the summand of identity (3):

```
Timing[ByteCount[smnd = DFiniteTimes[c2ni, ai2n]]]
{10.7927, 3 525 240}
```

Compute a creative telescoping operator in order to deal with the summation in identity (3):

```
Timing[ByteCount/@(fct = FindCreativeTelescoping[smnd, S[i] - 1])]
{658.849, {3024, 745 376}}
```

```
fct = << "fct_6.4.m";
```

Check the correctness of the creative telescoping operator (show that it is a member of the left ideal generated by the recurrences of smnd):

```
{{principalPart}, {deltaPart}} = fct;
Timing[OreReduce[principalPart + (S[i] - 1) ** deltaPart, smnd]]
{11.4767, 0}
```

Hence the ratio $r(n) = \frac{b(2n)}{b(2n-2)}$ satisfies the following recurrence (for $n \geq 2$, since the evaluation is conjectured to be true for $n \geq 1$):

```
rec = ApplyOreOperator[Factor[principalPart], r[n]]
4 n (-3 + 2 n) (1 + 2 n) (3 + 2 n) (-1 + 4 n) (11 + 14 n) r[n] -
(-1 + 2 n) (3 + 2 n) (-5 + 4 n) (-72 - 59 n + 1416 n^2 + 1820 n^3) r[1 + n] +
2 (1 + 2 n)^2 (-5 + 4 n) (-1 + 4 n) (1 + 4 n) (-3 + 14 n) r[2 + n]
```

Indeed, the recurrence is valid for all $n > 1$, but it does not hold for $n=1$:

```
Table[rec, {n, 1, 10}] /. r[n_] := Sum[data[[n, i]] * a[i, 2 n], {i, 1, 2 n - 1}]
{4500, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0}
```

Together with the initial values we get a closed form for this quotient. We use Marko Petkovsek's implementation of his algorithm Hyper to find the hypergeometric solutions of this recurrence. There is only one, but it turns out that it is exactly the one that we are looking for (two initial values match!).

```
{hyp} = Hyper[rec, r[n]]
{
  4 (-3 + 2 n) (1 + 2 n) (-1 + 4 n)
  -----
  (-1 + 2 n)^2 (-5 + 4 n)
}
Table[Sum[data[[n, i]] * a[i, 2 n], {i, 1, 2 n - 1}], {n, 1, 10}]
{1, 72, 1120/3, 9856/5, 69120/7, 428032/9, 2449408/11, 13271040/13, 69074944/15, 348651520/17}
RSolve[{r[n + 1] / r[n] == hyp, r[2] == 72, r[3] == 1120 / 3}, r[n], n]
{{r[n] -> 2^{-1+2n} (-1 + 2 n) (-5 + 4 n) / (-3 + 2 n)}}
Table[%[[1, 1, 2]], {n, 1, 10}]
{2, 72, 1120/3, 9856/5, 69120/7, 428032/9, 2449408/11, 13271040/13, 69074944/15, 348651520/17}
```

The solution matches except for the first value. This means that $r_1 = 1$ and $r_n = \frac{2^{2n-1}(2n-1)(4n-5)}{2n-3}$ for $n \geq 2$.

It follows that

$$b(2n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{b(2k)}{b(2k-2)} = \prod_{k=1}^n r_k = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{2^{2k-1}(2k-1)(4k-5)}{2k-3} = 2^{n^2} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(2k-1)(4k-5)}{2k-3} = -2^{n^2} (2n-1) \prod_{k=1}^n (4k-5) = 2^{n^2} (2n-1) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (4k-1).$$

Narayana Polynomial Pfaffian (Theorem 4)

Evaluate the Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(a(i, j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n})$ where $a(i, j) = (j-i)N(i+j-2, x)$ with $N(n, x)$ denoting the n -th Narayana polynomial: $N(n, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k-1} x^k$. The evaluation of this Pfaffian was conjectured in the paper "Pfaffian decomposition and a Pfaffian analogue of q -Catalan Hankel determinants" (Masao Ishikawa, Hiroyuki Tagawa, Jiang Zeng, arXiv:1009.2004), see Formula (6.6) there.

```

NarayanaN[1, x_] := x;
NarayanaN[2, x_] := x * (x + 1);
NarayanaN[n_Integer /; n > 2, x_] := NarayanaN[n, x] =
  Expand[
    (- (x - 1) ^ 2 * (n - 2) * NarayanaN[n - 2, x] + (x + 1) * (2 n - 1) * NarayanaN[n - 1, x]) / (n + 1)];

Clear[a, aij];
a[i_Integer, j_Integer] := (j - i) * NarayanaN[i + j - 2, x];
aij =
  Annihilator[(j - i) * Sum[1 / (i + j - 2) * Binomial[i + j - 2, k] * Binomial[i + j - 2, k - 1] * x^k,
    {k, 0, i + j - 2}], {S[i], S[j]}];
Factor[
  aij]
{(-1 + i - j) S_i + (-1 - i + j) S_j, (-1 + i - j) (i - j) (1 + i + j) S_j^2 -
  (-2 + i - j) (i - j) (-1 + 2 i + 2 j) (1 + x) S_j + (-2 + i - j) (-1 + i - j) (-2 + i + j) (-1 + x)^2}

```

Now we guess an implicit description (linear recurrences) for the auxiliary function $c_{2n,i}$.

```

Timing[
  dim = 26; data = {};
  Do[
    matrix = Table[a[i, j], {j, 2 n - 1}, {i, 2 n - 1}];
    vec = First[LinSolveUniv[matrix, x]];
    vec = PadRight[vec / Last[vec], 2 * dim];
    AppendTo[data, vec];
    , {n, dim}];

  (* The parameters of the following commands are the result of trial and error. *)
  guess1 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n + 1, i + 1]}, {n, i},
    3, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, Constraints -> 2 i <= n, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  guess2 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n + 2, i]},
    {n, i}, 6, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, Constraints -> 2 i <= n, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  guess3 = GuessMultRE[data, {f[n, i], f[n, i + 1], f[n + 1, i], f[n, i + 2], f[n, i + 3]},
    {n, i}, 4, StartPoint -> {1, 1}, Constraints -> 2 i <= n, AdditionalEquations -> Infinity];
  c2ni = OreGroebnerBasis[ToOrePolynomial[First /@ {guess1, guess2, guess3}, f[n, i]]];
]
{1511.44, Null}

c2ni = << "c2ni_6.6.m";

```

Factor[c2ni]

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ i (-1 + 2n) (-3 + 4n) (1 + x) S_n S_i + 8i (1 + i) n (-1 + x)^2 x S_i^2 - (-1 + i - 2n) (-1 + 2n) (-3 + 4n) S_n - \\ & \quad i (1 - 2n + 16in + 8n^2) x (1 + x) S_i + (-1 + i + 2n) (1 - 2n + 8in - 8n^2) x, \\ & n (-1 + 2n) (2 - i + 2n) (3 - i + 2n) (-3 + 4n) (1 + 4n) S_n^2 - \\ & \quad 4i (1 + i) n (1 + n) (1 + 2n) (1 + 4n) (-1 + x)^4 x S_i^2 - \\ & \quad (-1 + 2n) (-3 + 4n) (2n + 14n^2 + 28n^3 + 16n^4 - ix + i^2x + 12nx - 16inx + 8i^2nx + \\ & \quad \quad 20n^2x - 16in^2x + 8i^2n^2x + 8n^3x + 2nx^2 + 14n^2x^2 + 28n^3x^2 + 16n^4x^2) S_n + \\ & \quad 2i (1 + 4i) n (1 + n) (1 + 2n) (1 + 4n) (-1 + x)^2 x (1 + x) S_i + (1 + n) (-1 + i + 2n) (1 + 4n) \\ & \quad \quad x (-2n - 4in + 4n^2 - 8in^2 + 16n^3 + ix + 6nx + 2inx - 20n^2x + 24in^2x - 16n^3x - \\ & \quad \quad 2nx^2 - 4inx^2 + 4n^2x^2 - 8in^2x^2 + 16n^3x^2), -2i (1 + i) (2 + i) n (-1 + x)^4 (1 + x) S_i^3 + \\ & \quad i (1 + i) n (-1 + x)^2 (3 + 6i + 4n + 6x + 20ix - 8nx + 3x^2 + 6ix^2 + 4nx^2) S_i^2 - \\ & \quad (-1 + i - 2n) (i - 2n) (-1 + 2n) (-3 + 4n) S_n - \\ & \quad 2in (1 + x) (3i^2 + n + 4in - 2x - 4ix + 10i^2x - 2nx - 8inx + 3i^2x^2 + nx^2 + 4inx^2) S_i + \\ & \quad (-1 + i + 2n) (-in + 2i^2n + ix - 2nx - 4inx + 12i^2nx + 4n^2x - 24in^2x + 16n^3x - inx^2 + 2i^2nx^2) \} \end{aligned}$$

We determine the (finitely many) points for which the recurrences in $c2ni$ cannot be applied. There are for such points in general, and some more in the special case $x = -1$. So for the moment, let's assume that $x \neq -1$.

AnnihilatorSingularities[c2ni, {1, 1}]

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \{ \{ i \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow 1 \}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{ i \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow 2 \}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{ i \rightarrow 2, n \rightarrow 1 \}, \text{True} \}, \\ & \quad \{ \{ i \rightarrow 3, n \rightarrow 1 \}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{ i \rightarrow 2, n \rightarrow 1, x \rightarrow -1 \}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{ i \rightarrow 2, n \rightarrow 2, x \rightarrow -1 \}, \text{True} \}, \\ & \quad \{ \{ i \rightarrow 3, n \rightarrow 1, x \rightarrow -1 \}, \text{True} \}, \{ \{ i \rightarrow 3, n \rightarrow 2, x \rightarrow -1 \}, \text{True} \} \} \end{aligned}$$

■ Boundary conditions for $c_{2n,i}$

We show that $c_{2n,i} = 0$ for $i \leq 0$ and for $i \geq 2n$. This will be useful for the summations later ("natural boundaries").

Factor[c2ni[[2]]]

$$\begin{aligned} & n (-1 + 2n) (2 - i + 2n) (3 - i + 2n) (-3 + 4n) (1 + 4n) S_n^2 - \\ & \quad 4i (1 + i) n (1 + n) (1 + 2n) (1 + 4n) (-1 + x)^4 x S_i^2 - \\ & \quad (-1 + 2n) (-3 + 4n) (2n + 14n^2 + 28n^3 + 16n^4 - ix + i^2x + 12nx - 16inx + 8i^2nx + \\ & \quad \quad 20n^2x - 16in^2x + 8i^2n^2x + 8n^3x + 2nx^2 + 14n^2x^2 + 28n^3x^2 + 16n^4x^2) S_n + \\ & \quad 2i (1 + 4i) n (1 + n) (1 + 2n) (1 + 4n) (-1 + x)^2 x (1 + x) S_i + \\ & \quad (1 + n) (-1 + i + 2n) (1 + 4n) x (-2n - 4in + 4n^2 - 8in^2 + 16n^3 + ix + 6nx + \\ & \quad \quad 2inx - 20n^2x + 24in^2x - 16n^3x - 2nx^2 - 4inx^2 + 4n^2x^2 - 8in^2x^2 + 16n^3x^2) \end{aligned}$$

Provided with the appropriate initial conditions ($c_{2,0} = c_{4,0} = 0$), we see that this recurrence produces zeros on the line $i = 0$, since the terms S_i^2 and S_i vanish. Similarly for $i = -1$, since the term S_i^2 still vanishes (provided that $c_{2,-1} = c_{4,-1} = 0$). Because of these two zero rows, it is clear that everything beyond them (i.e., for $i < -1$) must be zero as well. The following computation shows that setting the initial conditions to 0 is compatible with the recurrences:

```
test = ApplyOreOperator[c2ni, c[n, i]]; Union[Flatten[
  Expand[Table[test, {n, 1, 5}, {i, -5, 5}] /. c[_ , i_?NonPositive] -> 0 /. c[a_] -> data[[a]]]]]
{0}
```

Now recall the leading coefficient and the support of the first defining recurrence of $c_{2n,i}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \text{Factor[LeadingCoefficient[c2ni[[1]]]], Support[c2ni[[1]]]} \\ & \quad \{ i (-1 + 2n) (-3 + 4n) (1 + x), \{ S_n S_i, S_i^2, S_n, S_i, 1 \} \} \} \end{aligned}$$

Since this coefficient does not vanish for any integer point in the area $n \geq 2$ and $i \geq 2n$ (again assuming $x \neq -1$), we can use this recurrence to produce the values of $c_{2n,i}$ in this area. The support of this recurrence indicates that we need only to show that $c_{2n,2n} = 0$.

```
Factor[LeadingCoefficient[First[DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {i -> 2n}]]]]
8 (1 + n) (2 + n) (3 + 2 n) (5 + 2 n) (-3 + 4 n) (1 + 4 n) (5 + 4 n) (-1 + x)8
(9 n + 26 n2 + 16 n3 - 10 x - 182 n x - 788 n2 x - 544 n3 x + 9 n x2 + 26 n2 x2 + 16 n3 x2)
CylindricalDecomposition[Implies[n > 1 && x < -1, % > 0], {n, x}]
True
```

The leading coefficient having no relevant singularities (assuming $x < -1$) and the initial values being zero (by construction) show this. With the same argument it is clear that also $c_{2,i} = 0$.

```
Factor[LeadingCoefficient[First[DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {n -> 1}]]]]
2 (2 + i) (3 + i) (-1 + x)4
```

■ Identity (1)

We compute an annihilating operator for $c_{2n,2n-1}$. Its leading coefficient has no nonnegative integer roots (for $x < -1$), and it has the operator $(S_n - 1)$ as a right factor. Therefore it annihilates any constant sequence.

```
Timing[ByteCount[diag = First[DFiniteSubstitute[c2ni, {i -> 2n - 1}]]]]
{37.6344, 182280}
OreReduce[diag, Annihilator[1, S[n]]]
0
Factor[LeadingCoefficient[diag]]
8 (2 + n) (3 + n) (3 + 2 n) (5 + 2 n) (9 + 4 n) (-1 + x)8
(-3600 n - 18840 n2 - 30088 n3 + 544 n4 + 50720 n5 + 56320 n6 + 25088 n7 + 4096 n8 + 240 x + 44491 n x +
344881 n2 x + 623558 n3 x - 400944 n4 x - 2248096 n5 x - 2459136 n6 x - 1123328 n7 x - 188416 n8 x -
21117 x2 - 338790 n x2 - 2239327 n2 x2 - 3561830 n3 x2 + 5462048 n4 x2 + 21087424 n5 x2 +
22707200 n6 x2 + 10533376 n7 x2 + 1806336 n8 x2 + 147513 x3 + 1457250 n x3 + 5559065 n2 x3 +
5183466 n3 x3 - 18809424 n4 x3 - 53876832 n5 x3 - 55231488 n6 x3 - 25525248 n7 x3 - 4431872 n8 x3 -
200622 x4 - 1472842 n x4 - 2922166 n2 x4 + 981628 n3 x4 + 6231168 n4 x4 - 3392960 n5 x4 -
15313920 n6 x4 - 10713088 n7 x4 - 2244608 n8 x4 + 147513 x5 + 1457250 n x5 + 5559065 n2 x5 +
5183466 n3 x5 - 18809424 n4 x5 - 53876832 n5 x5 - 55231488 n6 x5 - 25525248 n7 x5 - 4431872 n8 x5 -
21117 x6 - 338790 n x6 - 2239327 n2 x6 - 3561830 n3 x6 + 5462048 n4 x6 + 21087424 n5 x6 +
22707200 n6 x6 + 10533376 n7 x6 + 1806336 n8 x6 + 240 x7 + 44491 n x7 + 344881 n2 x7 + 623558 n3 x7 -
400944 n4 x7 - 2248096 n5 x7 - 2459136 n6 x7 - 1123328 n7 x7 - 188416 n8 x7 - 3600 n x8 -
18840 n2 x8 - 30088 n3 x8 + 544 n4 x8 + 50720 n5 x8 + 56320 n6 x8 + 25088 n7 x8 + 4096 n8 x8)
CylindricalDecomposition[Implies[n > 1 && x < -1, % > 0], {n, x}]
True
```

The four initial values are 1 by construction and therefore $c_{2n,2n-1} = 1$ for all n .

```
Table[data[[n, 2n - 1]], {n, 4}]
{1, 1, 1, 1}
```

Identity (2)

Compute a creative telescoping operator for the left-hand side.

```
alg = OreAlgebra[S[n], S[i], S[j]];
Timing[ByteCount[smnd = DFiniteTimes[
  ToOrePolynomial[Append[aij, S[n] - 1], alg], ToOrePolynomial[Append[c2ni, S[j] - 1], alg]]]]
{1.96412, 1733712}

Timing[ByteCount/@(fct = FindCreativeTelescoping[smnd, S[i] - 1])]
{81.7651, {5472, 284640}}

Factor[First[fct]]
{-j(j+2n)(-3+4n)Sn+n(-1-j+2n)(1+4n)(1+x)Sj+j(1+4n)(n+jx+nx2),
(2+j-2n)(j+2n)Sj2-(1+j)(1+2j)(1+x)Sj+j(1+j)(-1+x)2}
```

These two operators annihilate the left-hand-side expression of identity (2). If we consider them as the defining recurrences for a bivariate sequence (in n and j) then we need the following initial values to fill the area $1 \leq j < 2n$:

```
AnnihilatorSingularities[fct[[1]], {1, 1}, Assumptions -> j < 2n]
{{{j -> 1, n -> 1}, True}, {{j -> 1, n -> 2}, True}, {{j -> 2, n -> 2}, True}}

Expand[ReleaseHold[Hold[Sum[data[[n, i]] * a[i, j], {i, 1, 2n - 1}]] /. (First /@%)]]
{0, 0, 0}
```

This concludes the proof of (2).

Remark: note that the above reasoning is about the maximal possible area. If one tries to extend it further, the first step being $j = 2n$, the second recurrence found above breaks down:

```
Collect[ApplyOreOperator[fct[[1, 2]], f[n, j]] /. j -> j - 2, f[___], Factor]
(-2+j)(-1+j)(-1+x)2f[n, -2+j] -
(-1+j)(-3+2j)(1+x)f[n, -1+j] + (j-2n)(-2+j+2n)f[n, j]

AnnihilatorSingularities[fct[[1]], {1, 1}, Assumptions -> j ≤ 2n]
{{{j -> 1, n -> 1}, True}, {{j -> 2, n -> 1}, True}, {{j -> 2, n -> 2}, True},
{{j -> 2 + 2C[1], n -> 1 + C[1]}, C[1] ∈ Integers && C[1] ≥ 0}}
```

Indeed, the values for $j = 2n$ are nonzero as we demonstrate in the next section.

Identity (3)

```
ai2n = DFiniteSubstitute[aij, {j -> 2 n}, Algebra -> OreAlgebra[S[n], S[i]]]
```

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & (i + 2i^2 + i^3 - 2n - 4in - 2i^2n - 4in^2 + 8n^3) S_n + \\ & (-2i + 5i^2 - 2i^3 + 4n - 4in + 4i^2n - 12n^2 + 8in^2 - 16n^3 - 2ix + \\ & \quad 5i^2x - 2i^3x + 4nx - 4inx + 4i^2nx - 12n^2x + 8in^2x - 16n^3x) S_i + \\ & (4 - 3i^2 + i^3 - 8n + 8in - 2i^2n - 4n^2 - 4in^2 + 8n^3 - 8x + 6i^2x - 2i^3x + 16nx - 16inx + 4i^2nx + \\ & \quad 8n^2x + 8in^2x - 16n^3x + 4x^2 - 3i^2x^2 + i^3x^2 - 8nx^2 + 8inx^2 - 2i^2nx^2 - 4n^2x^2 - 4in^2x^2 + 8n^3x^2), \\ & (i + 2i^2 + i^3 - 2n - 4in - 2i^2n - 4in^2 + 8n^3) S_i^2 + (2i - 3i^2 - 2i^3 - 4n - 4in + 4i^2n + 20n^2 + \\ & \quad 8in^2 - 16n^3 + 2ix - 3i^2x - 2i^3x - 4nx - 4inx + 4i^2nx + 20n^2x + 8in^2x - 16n^3x) S_i + \\ & (-4 - 4i + i^2 + i^3 + 16n + 8in - 2i^2n - 20n^2 - 4in^2 + 8n^3 + 8x + 8ix - 2i^2x - \\ & \quad 2i^3x - 32nx - 16inx + 4i^2nx + 40n^2x + 8in^2x - 16n^3x - 4x^2 - 4ix^2 + \\ & \quad i^2x^2 + i^3x^2 + 16nx^2 + 8inx^2 - 2i^2nx^2 - 20n^2x^2 - 4in^2x^2 + 8n^3x^2) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Compute recurrences for the summand of identity (3):

```
Timing[ByteCount[smnd = DFiniteTimes[c2ni, ai2n]]]
```

```
{110.883, 36712216}
```

Compute a creative telescoping operator in order to deal with the summation in identity (3).

With evaluation/interpolation it took us 1955s to compute such an operator.

```
fct = << "fct_6.6.m";
```

Check the correctness of the creative telescoping operator (show that it is a member of the left ideal generated by the recurrences of smnd):

```
{{principalPart}, {deltaPart}} = fct;
```

```
Timing[OreReduce[principalPart + (S[i] - 1) ** deltaPart, smnd]]
```

```
{203.253, 0}
```

Hence the ratio $r(n) = \frac{b(2n)}{b(2n-2)}$ satisfies the following recurrence (for $n \geq 1$, since the evaluation of the Pfaffian holds for $n \geq 0$):

```
rec = ApplyOreOperator[Factor[principalPart], r[n]]
```

$$\begin{aligned} & n(1 + 2n)(1 + 4n)(-1 + x)^4 x^2 (1 + n - 7x - 6nx + x^2 + nx^2) r[n] - \\ & (-3 + 4n)(n + 3n^2 + 2n^3 - 11nx - 32n^2x - 20n^3x + 65nx^2 + 165n^2x^2 + 94n^3x^2 - 30x^3 - 294nx^3 - \\ & \quad 560n^2x^3 - 280n^3x^3 + 65nx^4 + 165n^2x^4 + 94n^3x^4 - 11nx^5 - 32n^2x^5 - 20n^3x^5 + nx^6 + 3n^2x^6 + 2n^3x^6) \\ & r[1 + n] + 2(-3 + 4n)(1 + 4n)(3 + 4n)(n - x - 6nx + nx^2) r[2 + n] \end{aligned}$$

Together with the initial values we get a closed form for this quotient. We use Marko Petkovsek's implementation of his algorithm Hyper to find the hypergeometric solutions of this recurrence. There is only one, but it turns out that it is exactly the one that we are looking for (two initial values match!).

```
{hyp} = Hyper[rec, r[n]]
```

$$\left\{ \frac{(1 + 4n)x^2}{-3 + 4n} \right\}$$

```
Table[Together[Sum[data[[n, i]] * a[i, 2n], {i, 1, 2n - 1}]], {n, 1, 10}]
```

```
{x, 5x^3, 9x^5, 13x^7, 17x^9, 21x^11, 25x^13, 29x^15, 33x^17, 37x^19}
```

```
RSolve[{r[n + 1] / r[n] == hyp, r[1] == x, r[2] == 5 x^3}, r[n], n]
```

$$\left\{ \left\{ r[n] \rightarrow \frac{(-3 + 4n) (x^2)^n}{x} \right\} \right\}$$

Mathematica can also solve this recurrence directly, but this takes much longer:

```
Timing[RSolve[{rec == 0, r[1] == x, r[2] == 5 x^3}, r[n], n]]
```

$$\{18.7612, \left\{ \left\{ r[n] \rightarrow \frac{(-3 + 4n) (x^2)^n}{x} \right\} \right\}$$

```
Table[%[[2, 1, 1, 2]], {n, 1, 10}]
```

$$\{x, 5 x^3, 9 x^5, 13 x^7, 17 x^9, 21 x^{11}, 25 x^{13}, 29 x^{15}, 33 x^{17}, 37 x^{19}\}$$

It follows that $b(2n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{b(2k)}{b(2k-2)} = \prod_{k=1}^n r_k = \prod_{k=1}^n ((4k-3)x^{2k-1}) = x^{n^2} \prod_{k=1}^n (4k-3) = x^{n^2} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (4k+1)$.

Final remark: in some steps we have assumed that x is a real number smaller than -1 . Hence for now the evaluation is proven only for $x < -1$. But for specific n , the Pfaffian is a polynomial in x (of a certain degree), as well as the right-hand side evaluation. Thus their difference is a polynomial in x which is zero for all $x < -1$. By the fundamental theorem of algebra it follows that this polynomial is identically zero, and therefore the evaluation of the Pfaffian is true for all complex numbers x .