

The size of the minimal automaton for an algebraic sequence

Eric Rowland¹, ***Manon Stipulanti***², ***Reem Yassawi***³ [eric.rowland@hofstra.edu]

¹ Department of Mathematics, Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY, USA

² Department of Mathematics, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

³ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Open University, Milton Keynes, UK

Let $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence whose terms are elements of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . A major theorem of Christol [2, 3] states that $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is algebraic if and only if it is q -automatic. That is, there exists a nonzero polynomial $P(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$ such that $P(x, \sum_{n \geq 0} s(n)x^n) = 0$ if and only if there is a finite automaton that outputs $s(n)$ when fed the base- q digits of n (say, starting with the least significant digit).

We therefore have two quite different ways of representing q -automatic sequences — polynomials and automata. A natural question is how the size of the minimal polynomial for a sequence (measured by its x -degree and y -degree) relates to the size of the minimal automaton for the sequence (measured by the number of states), and vice versa.

Given an algebraic series $\sum_{n \geq 0} s(n)x^n$ specified by a polynomial $P(x, y)$ with x -degree h , y -degree d , and genus g , Bridy [1] used algebraic geometry techniques to obtain the upper bound $(1 + o(1))q^{h+d+g-1}$ on the number of states in the minimal automaton generating $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$, where $o(1)$ tends to 0 as any of q, h, d, g gets large.

We show that progress can be made toward this bound without using tools from algebraic geometry, by analyzing orbits of certain linear operators on a finite-dimensional vector space of bivariate polynomials.

Keywords

automatic sequence, algebraic sequence, Christol's theorem

References

[1] A. BRIDY, Automatic sequences and curves over finite fields, *Algebra & Number Theory* **11**, 685–712 (2017).

[2] G. CHRISTOL, Ensembles presque periodiques k -reconnaissables, *Theoretical Computer Science* **9**, 141–145 (1979).

[3] G. CHRISTOL, T. KAMAE, M. MENDÈS FRANCE, AND G. RAUZY, Suites algébriques, automates et substitutions, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* **108**, 401–419 (1980).